

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	Our Vision
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	No
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas and preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another.</p> <p>Bury and Radcliffe would merge if more land around Elton Reservoir is taken. The roads already cannot cope at peak times. Public transport is poor - lets face it the busses go on same roads as cars - and if you think giving more space to cycling solves anything you clearly have never ridden a bike near a road in the cold and rain.</p> <p>Your plan also assumes people live and work locally. None of my immediate neighbours work in Bury - most have to travel 20 miles or more.</p> <p>Then there is the plan to sell off council buildings and have everyone work from home - which a lot of large corporations are now doing. This means that more green space is needed not less as people stay local. Office buildings should be knocked down and converted. There are a number of old mills that should be cleared or converted into apartments. But developing Green belt is cheaper.....Bury is just following what Manchester has done. The city is a sprawling concrete mess with no green spaces. each time this happens we chip away at green areas. Its a just a little space you say each time until there is nothing left.</p> <p>Then there is global warming and increasing population - we need more green space for food production!!!!</p> <p>As for sustainable needs of transport - our roads are not and never have been big enough for bus lanes and cycle lanes. "pinching" bits of existing roadway which stop and start does not fix the issue....and assumes everyone is only travelling locally.</p>

	<p>Lack of detail on employment plans - just what business is going to come - and where will they be based??? Again flawed thinking - you assume new jobs in Bury will go to people from Bury - how very naive. Employers in Bury wont care where people come from to work - are they going to be incentivised in some way - and is that not a form of discrimination??</p> <p>How does building on "significant green space around Walshaw and Elton reservoir" "Enhance special landscapes, green infrastructure, biodiversity and geodiversity"</p> <p>and "Improving access to the natural environment and green spaces" and "promoting the role of green space in climate resilience and reducing flood risk" when you are removing these very spaces that help maintain these objectives. The answer should be more green space protected - not build on it first then pat yourselves on the back for creating a pond somewhere.....</p> <p>And promote health of the community?? nice walk around green area of reservoir replaced by walking down the road instead - not a good plan.....</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Remove any thoughts of building on green belt. This should all be based on brownfield and brown field sites. There are lots if you look.....</p> <p>You need to publish far more details of what you believe is sustainable needs of transport - our roads are not and never have been big enough for bus lanes and cycle lanes. "pinching" bits of existing roadway which stop and start does not fix the issue....</p> <p>What are the employment details/plans?? You looked at Bury lately - charity shop haven just like all high streets so just what business is going to come - and where will they be based???</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	Our Strategic Objectives
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:</b>	<p>1. Meet our housing need</p> <p>3. Ensure a thriving and productive economy in the districts involved</p> <p>8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces</p> <p>10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities</p>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	NA
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA

<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>How does building on "significant green space around Walshaw and Elton reservoir" "Enhance special landscapes, green infrastructure, biodiversity and geodiversity"</p> <p>and "Improving access to the natural environment and green spaces" and "promoting the role of green space in climate resilience and reducing flood risk" when you are removing these very spaces that help maintain these objectives. The answer should be more green space protected - not build on it first then pat yourselves on the back for creating a pond somewhere.....</p> <p>And promote health of the community?? nice walk around green area of reservoir replaced by walking down the road instead - not a good plan.....</p> <p>Lack of detail on employment plans - just what business is going to come - and where will they be based??? Again flawed thinking - you assume new jobs in Bury will go to people from Bury - how very niaive. Employers in Bury wont care where people come from to work - are they going to be incentivised in some way - and is that not a form of discrimination??</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Remove any thoughts of building on green belt. This should all be based on brownfield and brown field sites. There are lots if you look.....</p> <p>You need to publish far more details of what you believe is sustainable needs of transport - our roads are not and never have been big enough for bus lanes and cycle lanes. "pinching" bits of existing roadway which stop and start does not fix the issue....</p> <p>What are the employment details/plans?? You looked at Bury lately - charity shop haven just like all high streets so just what business is going to come - and where will they be based???</p>
<p><b>Family Name</b></p>	<p>Roebuck</p>
<p><b>Given Name</b></p>	<p>Paul</p>
<p><b>Person ID</b></p>	<p>1285809</p>
<p><b>Title</b></p>	<p>Our Spatial Strategy</p>
<p><b>Type</b></p>	<p>Web</p>
<p><b>Include files</b></p>	<p><a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a></p>
<p><b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Justified?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Effective?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>Remove any thoughts of building on green belt. This should all be based on brownfield and brown field sites. There are lots if you look.....</p> <p>You need to publish far more details of what you believe is sustainable needs of transport - our roads are not and never have been big enough for bus lanes and cycle lanes. "pinching" bits of existing roadway which stop and start does not fix the issue....</p> <p>You want to get south to north and vice versa - you tried to get to Manchester airport on the tram for example - it takes 2 hours!!!! By car 45 Minutes</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	<p>All transport links go via City Centre -</p> <p>What are the employment details/plans?? just what business is going to come - and where will they be based??? especially as more people now working from home.</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>Your plan needs to look at greater green space and green space based activities - not industry. Just what business have been engaged?? How have they been engaged??? How is the plan addressing the increase in home working?? How is the plan addressing the increased demand on health services in the area?? No good to Bury having hospital in Salford or Oldham which cannot be easily accessed on public transport.</p>
<p><b>Family Name</b></p>	<p>Roebuck</p>
<p><b>Given Name</b></p>	<p>Paul</p>
<p><b>Person ID</b></p>	<p>1285809</p>
<p><b>Title</b></p>	<p>JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area</p>
<p><b>Type</b></p>	<p>Web</p>
<p><b>Include files</b></p>	<p><a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a></p>
<p><b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Justified?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Effective?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b></p>	<p>NA</p>
<p><b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>You need to publish far more details of what you believe is sustainable needs of transport - our roads are not and never have been big enough for bus lanes and cycle lanes. "pinching" bits of existing roadway which stop and start does not fix the issue....</p> <p>You want to get south to north and vice versa - you tried to get to Manchester airport on the tram for example - it takes 2 hours!!!! By car 45 Minutes</p> <p>All transport links go via City Centre -</p> <p>What are the employment details/plans?? just what business is going to come - and where will they be based??? especially as more people now working from home.</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters</b></p>	<p>Your plan needs to look at greater green space and green space based activities - not industry. Just what business have been engaged?? How have they been engaged??? How is the plan addressing the increase in home working?? How is the plan addressing the increased demand on health services in the area?? No good to Bury having hospital in Salford or Oldham which cannot be easily accessed on public transport.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 2 City Centre
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>The city centre is a sprawling concrete mess with no green spaces. each time this happens we chip away at green areas. Its a just a little space you say each time until there is nothing left. Remember when Piccadilly Gardens was an actual Garden in the 1980"s???</p> <p>Now its a stupid fountain and green patch. Certainly not a New York; London; Munich with large green spaces in walking distance.....</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Plan for more green space in the city itself.....yes thats right loss some buildings and address global warming with spaces of green on the city with trees for shelter when its warm.....</p> <p>Your plan needs to look at greater green space and green space based activities - not industry. Just what business have been engaged?? How have they been engaged??? How is the plan addressing the increase in home working??</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 3 The Quays
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Just like the city centre; Salford Quays has become a sprawling concrete mess with no green spaces. each time this happens we chip away at green areas.</p> <p>Transport links proposed are useless. A Spur? Back to city centre.. Loose a link and the entire route is stuffed. A number of Circle lines are needed. Lost count number of times Tram line closed and have to get a bus.....</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Your plan needs to look at greater green space and green space based activities - not industry. Just what business have been engaged?? How have they been engaged??? How is the plan addressing the increase in home working??
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 4 Port Salford
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to</b>	<p>Just what is to come in the port and where from? How is it to be transported around the area with already poor roads not suitable for large transport vehicles.....</p> <p>Transport link proposed is useless. A Spur? Loose a link and the entire route is stuffed. A number of Circle lines are needed. Lost count number of times Tram line closed and have to get a bus.....</p>



<b>comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Just what business have been engaged?? How have they been engaged??? How is the plan addressing the increase in home working?? Just what is to come in the port and where from? How is it to be transported around the area with already poor roads not suitable for large transport vehicles.....
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 5 Inner Areas
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Removing green space and building more adds to deprivation unless long term residents are given some form of incentive over external parties buying in to an area to make a profit..  Proportion of rental accommodation? What schools and health will be in place ahead of the plan not years after the plan.....
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan need to consider greater green space not less to improve well being and health. More details on how long term residents are given some form of incentive over external parties buying in to an area to make a profit..  More details on the proportion of rental accommodation for those on low incomes - how they will be upskilled? What schools and health will be in place ahead of the plan not years after the plan.....
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 6 Northern Areas
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Plan will see northern area become a sprawling built up mess with no green spaces. each time this happens we chip away at green areas. Removing green space and building affects the health of the area.</p> <p>Proportion of rental accommodation? What schools and health will be in place ahead of the plan not years after the plan.....</p> <p>Transport links ahead of the build? (and no more busses and bus lanes doesnt work)</p> <p>What are the employment details/plans?? just what business is going to come - and where will they be based??? especially as more people now working from home (Bury council I am looking at you here.....)</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Plan for more green space in the city itself.....yes thats right loss some buildings and address global warming with spaces of green on the city with trees for shelter when its warm.....</p> <p>Your plan needs to look at greater green space and green space based activities - not industry. Just what business have been engaged?? How have they been engaged??? How is the plan addressing the increase in home working??</p> <p>You need to publish far more details of what you believe is sustainable needs of transport - our roads are not and never have been big enough for bus lanes and cycle lanes. "pinching" bits of existing roadway which stop and start does not fix the issue....</p> <p>You want to get south to north and vice versa - you tried to get to Manchester airport on the tram for example - it takes 2 hours!!!! By car 45 Minutes</p> <p>All transport links go via City Centre -</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 7 North East Growth Corridor
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Plan will see northern - east area become a sprawling built up mess with no green spaces. each time this happens we chip away at green areas. Removing green space and building affects the health of the area.</p> <p>Proportion of rental accommodation? What schools and health will be in place ahead of the plan not years after the plan.....</p> <p>Transport links ahead of the build? (and no more busses and bus lanes doesnt work)</p> <p>Transport links proposed are useless. A number of interconnecting Circle lines are needed. Lost count number of times Tram line closed and have to get a bus.....</p> <p>What are the employment details/plans?? just what business is going to come - and where will they be based??? especially as more people now working from home (Bury council I am looking at you here.....)</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 8 Wigan Bolton Growth Corridor
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Sound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Link road from M61 to M6 makes sense to cut down on travel between the two. However link road from potential station to M61 does not make sense - for what purpose? Already in a car to get to said station...Just who is travelling from Wigan to Bolton???</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the</b>	Just who is travelling from Wigan to Bolton???

<b>modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 9 Southern Areas
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	spread prosperity to all parts of the city region how is it not clear. South already severely congested at peak times (Stockport on M60 and trafford bridge/Eccles area M60) so how is to be improved if more people to use the asset that is Mcr Airport. M60 has taken years to get current lane system working - but not addressed these pinch points.....
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	How are pinch points on M60 to be addressed? How is all public transport via City Centre to be addressed? What fast links are to be provided from all "regions" to get to the airport quickly and easily (say 40 minutes??). What park and ride to be put in place so people going to airport leave cars locally and securely??
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 10 Manchester Airport
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Rapid bus - are you bonkers? Raid bus on already congested roads???          takeway from normal road space will create more issues.....</p> <p>Metrolink to T2...have you tried getting tram to manchester airport???</p> <p>Lets see - live in Bury - so Bus to town centre or walk (20 minutes) - get tram to Manchester (30 minutes) change - get tram to Airport - 40 Minutes</p> <p>2 hours - with luggage and kids - sod that</p> <p>Airport City - everyone will be working more and more from home!!!!</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 12 Main Town Centres
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Manchester city centre is a sprawling concrete mess with no green spaces. each time this happens we chip away at green areas. Its a just a little space you say each time until there is nothing left. Remember when Piccadilly Gardens was an actual Garden in the 1980"s???</p> <p>Now its a stupid fountain and green patch. Certainly not a New York; London; Munich with large green spaces in walking distance.....</p> <p>Bury; Rochdale Oldham etc like most town centres are just full of charity shops -</p> <p>What oppotunities across Greater Manchester?????????????</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the</b>	Plan does not address how you might want to encourage living in the town centre

<b>modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-Strat 14 A Sustainable and Integrated Transport Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Just how will the transport network will be improved so that half of all daily trips can be made by public transport, cycling and walking??</p> <p>Your removing green space people will cycle and walk around?</p> <p>Lets face it the busses go on same roads as cars - and if you think giving more space to bus lanes and cycling solves anything you clearly have never ridden a bike near a road in the cold and rain or been on a bus in winter.....</p> <p>Bus fares are extortionate - who wants to be in a smelly bus with sneezing people when you already have a car sat at home</p> <p>Your plans do not actually improve anything. Lost count amount of times there is an issue on tram line and you have to get a bus. All routes go via central Manchester - also not a brilliant idea. Tram breaks down in Manchester - all routes impacted.....</p> <p>HS2 and Northern Powerhouse rail - no one really cars if get to London sooner as still takes as long to get to Mcr Piccadilly. Train cost already to high and with more people working from home - actually is the spend warranted?? Speed on longer routes better replaced with comfort and quality of the journey. Plans need to address quality of journey - we dont need HS2 - 2 hours to London is fine thank you!!! (with traffic on M60 it can take that amount of time worst case to get from Manchester Airport to Bury!!!)</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect</b>	<p>Plans need to evolve - everything should not go via just one hub which is Manchester Centre</p> <p>Plans need to address quality of journey</p> <p>Plans need to consider increase in home working</p>

<b>of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 1 Sustainable Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	How does building on green spaces tackle climate change??
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	How does building on green spaces tackle climate change??
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 2 Carbon and Energy
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	There is no detail - what does this mean to me in \$□□ terms? What does this mean for new and existing buildings? Will buildings deemed not fit to support this policy be demolished??
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	detail - what does this mean to me in \$□□ terms? detail What does this mean for new and existing buildings? detail Will buildings deemed not fit to support this policy be demolished??
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 3 Heat and Energy Networks
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant,</b>	Lack of detail - especially relevant as now work from home like many people Bury council employees - majority will work from home Bupa employees - majority work from home Vodafone employees - majority work from home



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Business already has solutions they can employ such as smart buildings and IOT so how does this relate to homes?? Is the area to put in place business legislation for companies??
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Lack of detail - especially relevant as now work from home like many people Bury council employees - majority will work from home Bupa employees - majority work from home Vodafone employees - majority work from home So with emissions moving from business locations to home - what does this mean for policy?? what does that mean for the working mans pocket??? Business already has solutions they can employ such as smart buildings and IOT so how does this relate to homes??
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 4 Resilience
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	ah yes disease outbreaks - so any new hospital builds??? GP surgeries already over run Live in Bury - you have to go to Salford or Oldham - both are not on tram system - both either via city centre or car... Then there is removing green space by building on it.....just what everyone needed during Covid and you want to take it away!!! Covid outbreak and in Manchester City Centre or Salford Quays - Stockport Centre- opps no green space at all.....
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	No evidence of joined up policy with NHS. any new hospital builds??? No new health centres? How will new green spaces be provided in short (walking) distance of major population centres such as Manchester City Centre or Salford Quays - Stockport Centre-

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 5 Flood Risk and Water Environment
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	How does building on green spaces such as Elton Reservoir land improve chance of flooding??
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Stop any build on green space - allow areas to flood - no evidence of work with United Utilities to add reservoir capacity
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 6 Clean Air
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>how does putting more people in a built up area by removing green space improve air quality??</p> <p>How does expanding the network of electric vehicle charging points, both for public and private use help when electric cars are and will still be more expensive - and is actually counter productive to having improved public transport</p> <p>Just where will these " sustainable goods distribution centres be"??</p> <p>This is something the likes of Amazon Hermes etc have already invested in.....</p> <p>Re trapping air pollution where are all these new trees coming from.....</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>Detail how does putting more people in a built up area by removing green space improve air quality??</p> <p>Detail How does expanding the network of electric vehicle charging points, both for public and private use help when electric cars are and will still be more expensive - and is actually counter productive to having improved public transport</p> <p>Detail where " sustainable goods distribution centres will be"??</p> <p>Detail where are all these new trees coming from.....</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-S 7 Resource Efficiency
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to</b>	<p>Just where will these recycling centres be?</p> <p>How will goods get there?</p> <p>How will waste be treated?</p> <p>have you considered who will want to live next to such locations (noise - smell - mess - transport impact)</p>

<b>co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>detail Just where will these recycling centres be?</p> <p>detail How will goods get there?</p> <p>detail How will waste be treated?</p> <p>detail have you considered who will want to live next to such locations (noise - smell - mess - transport impact)</p> <p>detail who will pay for these - how will be paid for - what jobs will this create???</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 1 Supporting Long Term Economic Growth
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>how do you believe HS2 will benefit area and not just move resources to London instead??</p> <p>How does this stand up now with more home working since covid outbreak being policy for councils such as Bury and businesses such as Bupa; Vodafone etc</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>detail how do you believe HS2 will benefit area and not just move resources to London instead??</p> <p>detail How does this stand up now with more home working since covid outbreak being policy for councils such as Bury and businesses such as Bupa; Vodafone etc</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 2 Employment Sites and Premises
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	How does this stand up now with more home working since covid outbreak being policy for councils such as Bury and businesses such as Bupa; Vodafone etc
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Detail How does this stand up now with more home working since covid outbreak being policy for councils such as Bury and businesses such as Bupa; Vodafone etc How will this make use of defunct retail space in town centres
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 3 Office Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Detail How does this stand up now with more home working since covid outbreak being policy for councils such as Bury and businesses such as Bupa; Vodafone etc How will this make use of defunct retail space in town centres
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Detail How does this stand up now with more home working since covid outbreak being policy for councils such as Bury and businesses such as Bupa; Vodafone etc How will this make use of defunct retail space in town centres
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-J 4 Industry and Warehousing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Plan states existing warehousing - how is it relevant to policy on new more sustainable local distribution centres
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you</b>	Plan states existing warehousing - how is it relevant to policy on new more sustainable local distribution centres



<b>consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Fact is your plan is based on "releasing green belt" which is flawed. Covid saw that this space was needed for local health and well being. With more people working from home this is of even greater importance Not to mention what will happen to wild life.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Detail how plan will be developed away from green belt to more brown site use and removal of aged homes such as terraced housing which are inefficient and no not address green/carbon policies detail how you would increase green space around existing housing - Covid saw that this space was needed for local health and well being. With more people working from home this is of even greater importance
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	how will this benefit local people to buy local - how will this policy avoid profiteering on new property will property be based on rental or purchase?
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Detail how will this benefit local people to buy local - how will this policy avoid profiteering on new property Detail what property be based on rental or purchase? Detail how plan will be developed away from green belt to more brown site use and removal of aged homes such as terraced housing which are inefficient and no not address green/carbon policies detail how you would increase green space around existing housing - Covid saw that this space was needed for local health and well being. With more people working from home this is of even greater importance
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	how will this benefit local people to buy local - how will this policy avoid profiteering on new property will property be based on rental or purchase?
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Detail how will this benefit local people to buy local - how will this policy avoid profiteering on new property Detail what property be based on rental or purchase? Detail how plan will be developed away from green belt to more brown site use and removal of aged homes such as terraced housing which are inefficient and no not address green/carbon policies detail how you would increase green space around existing housing - Covid saw that this space was needed for local health and well being. With more people working from home this is of even greater importance
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-H 4 Density of New Housing
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	how will this benefit local people to buy local - how will this policy avoid profiteering on new property will property be based on rental or purchase?
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect</b>	Detail how will this benefit local people to buy local - how will this policy avoid profiteering on new property Detail what property be based on rental or purchase? Detail how plan will be developed away from green belt to more brown site use and removal of aged homes such as terraced housing which are inefficient and no not address green/carbon policies

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	detail how you would increase green space around existing housing - Covid saw that this space was needed for local health and well being. With more people working from home this is of even greater importance
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	how does taking green belt for more housing improve health - flooding and bio-diversity exactly?
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	how does taking green belt for more housing improve health - flooding and bio-diversity exactly?
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	how does taking green belt for more housing improve health - flooding and bio-diversity exactly?
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	how does taking green belt for more housing improve health - flooding and bio-diversity exactly?
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 3 River Valleys and Waterways
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant,</b>	how does taking green belt for more housing improve health - flooding and bio-diversity exactly?

<b>is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	how does taking green belt for more housing improve health - flooding and bio-diversity exactly?
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 4 Lowland Wetlands and Mosslands
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	how does taking green belt for more housing improve health - flooding and bio-diversity exactly?
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	how does taking green belt for more housing improve health - flooding and bio-diversity exactly?



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 5 Uplands
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	how does taking green belt for more housing improve health - flooding and bio-diversity exactly?
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	how does taking green belt for more housing improve health - flooding and bio-diversity exactly?
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	So just how are green spaces to be provision in the likes of Manchester City Centre or Salford Quays???
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Detail just how are green spaces to be provision in the likes of Manchester City Centre or Salford Quays???
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>how does taking green belt for more housing improve health - flooding and bio-diversity exactly?</p> <p>Just where will this urban forest be in relation to City Centre?</p> <p>Where will provision of community orchards be?? Who will own and run and benefit?</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>detail how does taking green belt for more housing improve health - flooding and bio-diversity exactly?</p> <p>Detail Just where will this urban forest be in relation to City Centre?</p> <p>Detail Where will provision of community orchards be?? Who will own and run and benefit?</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 8 Standards for Greener Places
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>how does taking green belt for more housing improve natural green space exactly?</p> <p>Just where will this urban forest be in relation to City Centre?</p> <p>Where will provision of community orchards be?? Who will own and run and benefit?</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>how does taking green belt for more housing improve natural green space exactly?</p> <p>Just where will this urban forest be in relation to City Centre?</p> <p>Where will provision of community orchards be?? Who will own and run and benefit?</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>how does taking green belt for more housing improve natural green space; Biodiversity and Geodiversity exactly?</p> <p>Just where will this urban forest be in relation to City Centre?</p> <p>Where will provision of community orchards be?? Who will own and run and benefit?</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>how does taking green belt for more housing improve natural green space; Biodiversity and Geodiversity exactly?</p> <p>Just where will this urban forest be in relation to City Centre?</p> <p>Where will provision of community orchards be?? Who will own and run and benefit?</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 10 Green Belt
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-G 11 Safeguarded Land
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>how does taking green belt for more housing improve natural green space; Biodiversity and Geodiversity exactly? Just how will green belt land be safeguarded and by whom?</p> <p>The very people making this plan??</p> <p>Just where will this urban forest be in relation to City Centre?</p> <p>Where will provision of community orchards be?? Who will own and run and benefit?</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	<p>how does taking green belt for more housing improve natural green space; Biodiversity and Geodiversity exactly? Just how will green belt land be safeguarded and by whom?</p> <p>The very people making this plan??</p> <p>Just where will this urban forest be in relation to City Centre?</p> <p>Where will provision of community orchards be?? Who will own and run and benefit?</p>
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-P1 Sustainable Places
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-P2 Heritage
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses



<b>comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-P3 Cultural Facilities
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-P4 New Retail and Leisure Uses in Town Centres
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-P5 Education Skills and Knowledge
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-P6 Health
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses

<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-P7 Sport and Recreation
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses  Just what new sports facilities will be built - just how many swimming pools have been lost over the last few decades in the area??
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	JP-C1 An Integrated Network
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-C2 Digital Connectivity
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-C3 Public Transport
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses

<b>consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-C4 Streets for All
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-C5 Walking and Cycling Network
<b>Type</b>	Web



<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-C6 Freight and Logistics
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-C7 Transport Requirements of New Developments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses

<b>of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.1 Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 1.2: Simister and Bowlee (Northern Gateway)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 2: Stakehill
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant,</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses

<b>is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 3.1: Medipark
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	Other Comments
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 4: Bewshill Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses

<b>plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 5: Chequerbent North
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 6: West of Wingates / M61 Junction 6
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	I am sure you make these documents deliberately long to stop people responding on purpose - see my other comments across the various responses
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the</b>	Fact is your plan is based on "releasing green belt in Walshaw and wider release around Elton Reservoir" which is flawed.

<p><b>consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b></p>	<p>Covid saw that this space was needed for local health and well being.          With more people working from home this is of even greater importance          Not to mention what will happen to wild life.          Did you know that heron; kingfisher and various other wild birds live around the reservoir - as do deer and fox (and yes see them quite regularly).          Bury Bolton Road is already rammed at peak times - so you will just make it worse and history has taught us that infrastructure always comes last.          Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas and preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another.          Bury and Radcliffe would merge if more land around Elton Reservoir is taken. The roads already cannot cope at peak times. Public transport is poor - lets face it the busses go on same roads as cars - and if you think giving more space to cycling solves anything you clearly have never ridden a bike near a road in the cold and rain.          Your plan also assumes people live and work locally. None of my immediate neighbours work in Bury - most have to travel 20 miles or more.          Then there is the plan to sell off council buildings and have everyone work from home - which a lot of large corporations are now doing. This means that more green space is needed not less as people stay local. Office buildings should be knocked down and converted. There are a number of old mills that should be cleared or converted into apartments. But developing Green belt is cheaper.....Bury is just following what Manchester has done. The city is a sprawling concrete mess with no green spaces. each time this happens we chip away at green areas. Its a just a little space you say each time until there is nothing left.          Your plan needs to look at greater green space and green space based activities - not industry. Just what business have been engaged?? How have they been engaged??? How is the plan addressing the increase in home working?? How is the plan addressing the increased demand on health services in the area?? No good to Bury having hospital in Salford or Oldham which cannot be easily accessed on public transport.</p>
<p><b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b></p>	<p>detail how above will be addressed          Remove and dependency to build on green belt</p>
<p><b>Family Name</b></p>	<p>Roebuck</p>
<p><b>Given Name</b></p>	<p>Paul</p>
<p><b>Person ID</b></p>	<p>1285809</p>
<p><b>Title</b></p>	<p>JPA 8: Seedfield</p>
<p><b>Type</b></p>	<p>Web</p>
<p><b>Include files</b></p>	<p><a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a></p>
<p><b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p><b>Soundness - Justified?</b></p>	<p>Unsound</p>

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Fact is your plan is based on "releasing green belt in Walshaw and wider release around Elton Reservoir" which is flawed.</p> <p>Covid saw that this space was needed for local health and well being.</p> <p>With more people working from home this is of even greater importance</p> <p>Not to mention what will happen to wild life.</p> <p>Did you know that heron; kingfisher and various other wild birds live around the reservoir - as do deer and fox (and yes see them quite regularly).</p> <p>Bury Bolton Road is already rammed at peak times - so you will just make it worse and history has taught us that infrastructure always comes last.</p> <p>Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas and preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another.</p> <p>Bury and Radcliffe would merge if more land around Elton Reservoir is taken. The roads already cannot cope at peak times. Public transport is poor - lets face it the busses go on same roads as cars - and if you think giving more space to cycling solves anything you clearly have never ridden a bike near a road in the cold and rain.</p> <p>Your plan also assumes people live and work locally. None of my immediate neighbours work in Bury - most have to travel 20 miles or more.</p> <p>Then there is the plan to sell off council buildings and have everyone work from home - which a lot of large corporations are now doing. This means that more green space is needed not less as people stay local. Office buildings should be knocked down and converted. There are a number of old mills that should be cleared or converted into apartments. But developing Green belt is cheaper.....Bury is just following what Manchester has done. The city is a sprawling concrete mess with no green spaces. each time this happens we chip away at green areas. Its a just a little space you say each time until there is nothing left.</p> <p>Your plan needs to look at greater green space and green space based activities - not industry. Just what business have been engaged?? How have they been engaged??? How is the plan addressing the increase in home working?? How is the plan addressing the increased demand on health services in the area?? No good to Bury having hospital in Salford or Oldham which cannot be easily accessed on public transport.</p>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Remove and dependency to build on green belt

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 9: Walshaw
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>Fact is your plan is based on "releasing green belt in Walshaw and wider release around Elton Reservoir" which is flawed.</p> <p>Covid saw that this space was needed for local health and well being.</p> <p>With more people working from home this is of even greater importance</p> <p>Not to mention what will happen to wild life.</p> <p>Did you know that heron; kingfisher and various other wild birds live around the reservoir - as do deer and fox (and yes see them quite regularly).</p> <p>Bury Bolton Road is already rammed at peak times - so you will just make it worse and history has taught us that infrastructure always comes last.</p> <p>Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas and preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another.</p> <p>Bury and Radcliffe would merge if more land around Elton Reservoir is taken. The roads already cannot cope at peak times. Public transport is poor - lets face it the busses go on same roads as cars - and if you think giving more space to cycling solves anything you clearly have never ridden a bike near a road in the cold and rain.</p> <p>Your plan also assumes people live and work locally. None of my immediate neighbours work in Bury - most have to travel 20 miles or more.</p> <p>Then there is the plan to sell off council buildings and have everyone work from home - which a lot of large corporations are now doing. This means that more green space is needed not less as people stay local. Office buildings should be knocked down and converted. There are a number of old mills that should be cleared or converted into apartments. But developing Green belt is cheaper.....Bury is just following what Manchester has done. The city is a sprawling concrete mess with no green spaces. each time this happens we chip away at green areas. Its a just a little space you say each time until there is nothing left.</p> <p>Your plan needs to look at greater green space and green space based activities - not industry. Just what business have been engaged?? How have they been engaged??? How is the plan addressing the increase in home working?? How is the plan addressing the increased demand on health services in the area?? No good to Bury having hospital in Salford or Oldham which cannot be easily accessed on public transport.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Remove and dependency to build on green belt
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 10: Global Logistics
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas and preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another. How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas and preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another. How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	JPA 12: Beal Valley
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 13: Bottom Field Farm (Woodhouses)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 14: Broadbent Moss
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas and preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another. How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 15: Chew Brook Vale (Robert Fletchers)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas and preventing neighbouring towns from merging into one another. How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 16: Cowlshaw
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 17: Land South of Coal Pit Lane (Ashton Road)
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 18: South of Rosary Road
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 20: Castleton Sidings
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 21: Crimble Mill
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant,</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways

<b>is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 22: Land North of Smithy Bridge
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 23: Newhey Quarry
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 24: Roch Valley
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 25: Trows Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 26: Land at Hazelhurst Farm
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Title</b>	JPA 27: Land East of Boothstown
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 28: North of Irlam Station
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 29: Port Salford Extension
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 30: Ashton Moss West
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village
<b>Type</b>	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 32: South of Hyde
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 33 New Carrington
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways



<b>of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 34 M6 Junction 25
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion -
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion -
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 36: Pocket Nook
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant,</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways

<b>is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion - not exactly close to major rail links and motorways
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JPA 37: West of Gibfield
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion -
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Change to focus on brown sites Flies in the face of policy of Green belt legislation to keep in check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas How does this address climate change - reducing emissions - congestion -

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementation
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	JP-D2 Developer Contributions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	see other comments -
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the</b>	see other comments -

<b>plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	Bolton - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Fact is your plan is based on "releasing green belt" more than assigning new green belt which is flawed.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be about how better use of brown sites and refresh aged housing is addressed not just adding some green belt which hides fact your stealing more green belt (many areas indicated are already "green")
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	Bury - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Fact is your plan is based on "releasing green belt" more than assigning new green belt which is flawed.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be about how better use of brown sites and refresh aged housing is addressed not just adding some green belt which hides fact your stealing more green belt (many areas indicated are already "green")
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	Oldham - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the</b>	Fact is your plan is based on "releasing green belt" more than assigning new green belt which is flawed.

<b>consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be about how better use of brown sites and refresh aged housing is addressed not just adding some green belt which hides fact your stealing more green belt (many areas indicated are already "green")
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	Rochdale - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Fact is your plan is based on "releasing green belt" more than assigning new green belt which is flawed.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters</b>	Plan should be about how better use of brown sites and refresh aged housing is addressed not just adding some green belt which hides fact your stealing more green belt (many areas indicated are already "green")



Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>you have identified above.</b>	
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	Salford - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Fact is your plan is based on "releasing green belt" more than assigning new green belt which is flawed.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be about how better use of brown sites and refresh aged housing is addressed not just adding some green belt which hides fact your stealing more green belt (many areas indicated are already "green")
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	Tameside - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Fact is your plan is based on "releasing green belt" more than assigning new green belt which is flawed.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be about how better use of brown sites and refresh aged housing is addressed not just adding some green belt which hides fact your stealing more green belt (many areas indicated are already "green")
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	Trafford - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to</b>	Fact is your plan is based on "releasing green belt" more than assigning new green belt which is flawed.

<b>comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be about how better use of brown sites and refresh aged housing is addressed not just adding some green belt which hides fact your stealing more green belt (many areas indicated are already "green")
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck
<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	Wigan - Green Belt Additions
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	NA
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	Fact is your plan is based on "releasing green belt" more than assigning new green belt which is flawed.
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</b>	Plan should be about how better use of brown sites and refresh aged housing is addressed not just adding some green belt which hides fact your stealing more green belt (many areas indicated are already "green")
<b>Family Name</b>	Roebuck

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Given Name</b>	Paul
<b>Person ID</b>	1285809
<b>Title</b>	Supporting Evidence
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Include files</b>	<a href="#">PFE1285809.pdf</a>
<b>Redacted comment on supporting documents - Please give details of why you consider any of the evidence not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	your plans are out of date - the world has changed as a result of covid greater number of people will be working from home - council employees included and there is increased demand for better green space and leisure.